1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN	JOSE DIVISION	
4	KANYON SAYERS-ROODS,		
5	PLAINTIFF,	CASE NO. CV-20-3092-EJD	
6	VS.	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA	
7	MARLENE RITA MACHADO,	JUNE 16, 2022	
8	DEFENDANT.	PAGES 1 - 26	
9			
10		PT OF PROCEEDINGS TORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA	
11		TES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S		
13	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: BY:	KANIVON GAVEDG-DOODG	
14	1 IN	NDIAN CANYON ROAD IAN CANYON	
15		LISTER, CALIFORNIA 95122	
16			
17	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:	IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR	
18	OTTICIZE COOK! KEIOKIEK.	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074	
19	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED R	Y MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY,	
20	TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH CON		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA JUNE 25, 2022
	2	
	3	PROCEEDINGS
	4	(COURT CONVENED AT 11:03 A.M.)
11:03AM	5	THE COURT: LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT MATTER, 22-3092,
11:03AM	6	SAYERS-ROODS VERSUS MACHADO. IF THOSE PARTIES COULD COME
11:03AM	7	FORWARD, PLEASE.
11:03AM	8	GOOD MORNING. MAY I KNOW YOUR NAME.
11:03AM	9	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: MY NAME IS KANYON SAYERS-ROODS.
11:03AM	10	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU'RE A PLAINTIFF IN THIS
11:03AM	11	MATTER?
11:03AM	12	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: I AM.
11:03AM	13	THE COURT: AND IS MARLENE RITA MACHADO PRESENT?
11:03AM	14	I SEE OR HEAR NO RESPONSE. THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THAT
11:03AM	15	IT'S 10:59, AND THIS IS A 10:00 O'CLOCK CALENDAR. MS. MACHADO
11:03AM	16	IS NOT PRESENT.
11:03AM	17	WAS SHE SERVED WITH THIS DOCUMENT?
11:03AM	18	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: I DO KNOW SHE WAS SERVED WITH A
11:03AM	19	COMPLAINT ON JUNE 9TH, AND THERE WAS ALSO AN EFFORT THAT WAS
11:03AM	20	MADE OVER THE PHONE.
11:03AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:03AM	22	WELL, I SET THIS CASE, MS. SAYERS-ROODS, BECAUSE I LOOKED
11:03AM	23	AT YOUR LAWSUIT, AND I'M CURIOUS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT AND
11:03AM	24	YOU READ MY ORDER I'M CURIOUS WHETHER OR NOT THIS COURT
11:03AM	25	ACTUALLY HAS JURISDICTION, THAT IS, WHETHER THIS COURT HAS

11:03AM	1
11:03AM	2
11:03AM	3
11:03AM	4
11:03AM	5
11:03AM	6
11:03AM	7
11:03AM	8
11:03AM	9
11:03AM	10
11:03AM	11
11:03AM	12
11:03AM	13
11:03AM	14
11:03AM	15
11:03AM	16
11:03AM	17
11:03AM	18
11:03AM	19
11:03AM	20
11:03AM	21
11:03AM	22
11:03AM	23
11:03AM	24
11:03AM	25

THIS IS THE APPROPRIATE COURT TO HEAR YOUR CASE. IT'S CALLED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE. IT'S BASICALLY ASKING THE PARTY TO COME IN AND ANSWER THAT QUESTION, BECAUSE AS I LOOKED AT THE LAW THAT RELATES TO THE LAWSUIT, I'M NOT CERTAIN THAT I CAN HEAR THE CASE, NOTWITHSTANDING THE GROUND THAT YOU STATED. AND THAT'S WHY I WANTED YOU TO APPEAR.

FIRST OF ALL, LET ME ASK YOU, HAVE YOU HAD THE OPPORTUNITY
TO SPEAK WITH OUR PRO SE LAWYER? WE HAVE A LAWYER HERE THAT
WE, THE COURT, PAYS FOR THIS LAWYER, AND HE HAS AN OFFICE HERE
ON THE SECOND FLOOR. HIS JOB IS TO HELP PRO SE LITIGANTS,
PEOPLE WHO ARE APPEARING IN COURT WITHOUT LAWYERS, AND HIS JOB
IS TO HELP THEM WITH THEIR LAWSUIT, HELP GIVE THEM GUIDANCE.
HE'S NOT REPRESENTING ANYONE, BUT HE PROVIDES GUIDANCE FOR THE
LAWSUIT.

HAVE YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK WITH HIM?

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: TO SPEAK WITH HIM? NO. TO

REVIEW THE HANDBOOK? YES.

THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY. I THINK I PUT IN THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AN INVITATION, A SUGGESTION THAT YOU MIGHT WANT TO DO THAT TO GET SOME ASSISTANCE.

BUT OKAY. THANK YOU.

SO WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO KNOW THIS MORNING?

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: WELL, I HAVE CURRENTLY DOCKET ITEM NUMBER 163. I HAVE THE U.S.P.S. INSPECTOR GENERAL DECLARING THAT THERE IS SPECIAL JURISDICTION REGARDING OUR

11:03AM	1	RURAL MAILBOX AND POSTAL BOX.
11:03AM	2	THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU HAVE
11:03AM	3	REFERRED THE CONDUCT THAT YOU ALLEGE MS. MACHADO HAS ENGAGED IN
11:03AM	4	TO THE UNITED STATES POSTAL INSPECTION.
11:03AM	5	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: YES.
11:03AM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?
11:03AM	7	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: WHICH ONE?
11:03AM	8	THE COURT: THE POSTAL.
11:03AM	9	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: OH. I RECEIVED THIS LETTER, I
11:03AM	10	RECEIVED I SUBMITTED IT ON THE 6TH. HOWEVER, WHEN I PURSUED
11:03AM	11	IT, JUNE 3RD, TO CONFIRM THAT WE HAVE PROOF THAT IT IS OUR
11:03AM	12	POSTAL BOX, SO YOU HAVE THE RIGHT PROOF.
11:03AM	13	THE COURT: MY QUESTION WAS HAVE YOU SENT THIS
11:03AM	14	HAVE YOU ISSUED A COMPLAINT?
11:03AM	15	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: YES.
11:03AM	16	THE COURT: THAT THERE'S BEEN INTERFERENCE?
11:03AM	17	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: TO THE POSTAL?
11:03AM	18	THE COURT: YES.
11:03AM	19	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: I BELIEVE SO. YES, CRIMINAL
11:03AM	20	INVESTIGATIONS CENTER, YES.
11:03AM	21	THE COURT: RIGHT. DO YOU HAVE THAT LETTER? DO YOU
11:03AM	22	WANT ME TO LOOK AT WHAT YOU SENT?
11:03AM	23	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: YES, SURE.
11:03AM	24	THE COURT: YOU CAN HAND IT TO OUR CLERK.
11:03AM	25	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: IT'S THE AA EXHIBIT. IT'S NOT ON

1 11:03AM 2 11:03AM 3 11:03AM 11:03AM 4 11:03AM 11:03AM 11:03AM 11:03AM 11:03AM 9 11:03AM 10 11:03AM 11 11:03AM 12 11:03AM 13 11:03AM 14 11:03AM 15 11:03AM 16 11:03AM 17 11:04AM 18 11:04AM 19 11:04AM 20 11:04AM 21 11:04AM 22 11:04AM 23 11:04AM 24 11:04AM 25

TOP. I APOLOGIZE.

THE COURT: OH, THIS IS THE DOCUMENT.

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: YEAH, APOLOGIES.

THE COURT: NO. I SEE IT. YEAH.

I'M CURIOUS WHETHER OR NOT, NOT WHETHER YOU FILED IT IN
THIS COURT, BUT WHETHER YOU FILED A REQUEST OR A COMPLAINT
DIRECTLY TO THE POSTAL SERVICE REGARDING WHAT MS. MACHADO HAS
BEEN DOING?

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: CURRENTLY I'M SEEKING YOUR ASSISTANCE FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER TO --

THE COURT: RIGHT. LET ME GIVE YOU THESE BACK.

THE CLERK: I'M SORRY.

THE COURT: RIGHT. THAT'S THE QUESTION OF WHETHER
OR NOT I HAVE JURISDICTION TO EVEN HEAR THAT, TO HEAR THE
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER.

BUT MY QUESTION IS, BECAUSE WHEN I LOOK AT THE LAW, IT

SEEMS TO ME, MS. SAYERS ROODS, THAT TO FOLLOW UP WITH THE

CONDUCT THAT YOU'VE ALLEGED MS. MACHADO HAS DONE, THIS CONDUCT

I'M REFERRING TO IS HER INTERFERING WITH YOU RECEIVING MAIL AT

THE POST OFFICE BOX, AT YOUR MAILBOX, PARDON ME, THAT YOU

CLEARLY IDENTIFY IN THESE DOCUMENTS, THAT COMPLAINT IS

SOMETHING THAT THE COURT DOESN'T HAVE ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

OVER, BUT THAT'S SOMETHING THAT IT SEEMS THAT THE APPROPRIATE

COURSE OF CONDUCT FOR YOU, WHAT YOU SHOULD DO IS TO -- AND I'M

NOT GIVING YOU LEGAL ADVICE, BUT IT APPEARS THAT THAT TYPE OF

COMPLAINT SHOULD GO DIRECTLY TO THE POSTMASTER GENERAL, THE 1 11:04AM 2 POST OFFICE, AND THEY HAVE AN INVESTIGATION -- THEY HAVE THEIR 11:04AM OWN POLICE. THEY HAVE THEIR OWN INVESTIGATION DIVISION THAT 3 11:04AM 11:04AM 4 THEN WILL INVESTIGATE THAT TYPE OF ACTIVITY, AND THAT'S WHY I 5 WAS ASKING IF YOU HAD CONTACTED THE POSTAL SERVICE FOR THAT. 11:05AM MS. SAYERS-ROODS: I DIDN'T REALIZE THAT. 11:05AM 6 I DO ALSO WANT TO POINT OUT THAT MARLENE MACHADO IS 11:05AM CURRENTLY IN INDIAN COUNTRY, AND SHE'S CONSISTENTLY INTERFERING 11:05AM 8 WITH INGOING AND OUTGOING. 11:05AM 9 11:05AM 10 THE COURT: YES. 11:05AM 11 MS. SAYERS-ROODS: AND I HADN'T REALIZED THAT THE 11:05AM 12 POSTAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATORS WERE ALLOWED TO HAVE JURISDICTION 11:05AM 13 TO REMOVE HER HENCE THE REASON --THE COURT: NO, I DIDN'T SAY THAT. I DIDN'T SAY 11:05AM 14 11:05AM 15 THAT. NO. BUT THEY HAVE JURISDICTION OVER THE MAILBOX. 11:05AM 16 11:05AM 17 MS. SAYERS-ROODS: CORRECT, I GET THAT. 11:05AM 18 THE COURT: AND SO PART OF YOUR COMPLAINT, I 11:05AM 19 BELIEVE, IS THAT MS. MACHADO IS EITHER STEALING OR SOMEHOW 11:05AM 20 INTERFERING WITH YOUR RECEIPT OF UNITED STATES MAIL. 11:05AM 21 MS. SAYERS-ROODS: YES. 11:05AM 22 THE COURT: AND THAT IS WHAT THE POSTAL SERVICE 11:05AM 23 WOULD DO, THEY WOULD INITIATE AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT THAT 11:05AM 24 COMPLAINT, AND THEY HAVE A POLICE FORCE, A LAW ENFORCEMENT INVESTIGATION DIVISION THAT THEY, IF YOU MAKE A COMPLAINT TO 11:05AM 25

11:06AM	1	THEM, THEY'RE OBLIGATED TO INVESTIGATE.
11:06AM	2	THEY WON'T DO AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT TRESPASSES THAT
11:06AM	3	MS. MACHADO IS ON INDIAN LAND, BUT THEY WILL INVESTIGATE YOUR
11:06AM	4	ALLEGATIONS THAT SHE IS DISRUPTING YOUR POSTAL SERVICE.
11:06AM	5	DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?
11:06AM	6	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: THAT DOES.
11:06AM	7	THE COURT: NOW, THE ISSUE AND WHAT I UNDERSTAND
11:06AM	8	FROM YOUR PAPERS ARE THAT THERE IS A NON, NON-TRIBAL PERSON ON
11:06AM	9	IDENTIFIED TRIBAL LAND AND SHE'S INTERFERING. SHE HAS NO
11:06AM	10	BUSINESS ON THE LAND FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE. AND YOU WOULD LIKE
11:06AM	11	HER EITHER REMOVED OR SOMEHOW TO RESTRAIN THE CONDUCT THAT
11:06AM	12	SHE'S BEEN ENGAGED IN.
11:06AM	13	I READ YOUR DECLARATIONS ABOUT THE TREATMENT OF YOUR
11:06AM	14	MOTHER, I BELIEVE IT IS.
11:06AM	15	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: YES.
11:06AM	16	THE COURT: AS WELL AS TRIBAL ARTIFACTS.
11:06AM	17	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: YES.
11:06AM	18	THE COURT: AND HER INTERFERENCE WITH TRIBAL
11:07AM	19	GOVERNANCE.
11:07AM	20	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: YES.
11:07AM	21	THE COURT: LET ME ASK A QUESTION, DOES YOUR TRIBE
11:07AM	22	HAVE, DO YOU HAVE, DOES YOUR NATION HAVE A TRIBAL COUNCIL?
11:07AM	23	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: CURRENTLY WE HAVE A SMALL COUNCIL
11:07AM	24	OF ELDERS. THE COSTANOAN-CHUALAR INDIAN TRIBAL COMMUNITY OF
11:07AM	25	INDIAN CANYON IS LOCATED ON CANYON ROAD DOES HAVE A SMALL ELDER

11:07AM	1	COUNCIL.
11:07AM	2	THE COURT: RIGHT. AND HAS THE COUNCIL DEALT WITH
11:07AM	3	THIS ISSUE OF MS. MACHADO AND HAVE THEY TAKEN ACTION?
11:07AM	4	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: THE ACTION HAS BEEN DECLARING
11:07AM	5	MYSELF AS TRIBAL CHAIR AND TO PURSUE LEGAL ACTION THROUGH
11:07AM	6	FEDERAL COURT TO REMOVE HER FROM FEDERAL LAND AS TO WHY I'M
11:07AM	7	ATTEMPTING TO PRESENT THIS CASE TO YOU.
11:07AM	8	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY. I UNDERSTAND.
11:07AM	9	HAS THAT HAS THEIR DECISION THEN MEMORIALIZED, LET ME
11:08AM	10	SAY, HAVE THEY ISSUED A WRITTEN DECISION, SOMETHING THAT IS ON
11:08AM	11	PAPER THAT IDENTIFIES THE COUNCIL?
11:08AM	12	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: YES.
11:08AM	13	THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU HAVE THAT?
11:08AM	14	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: TODAY I DON'T HAVE A PRINTOUT. I
11:08AM	15	DO HAVE THAT ON RECORD.
11:08AM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. I ASK THIS QUESTION BECAUSE IF
11:08AM	17	THE COUNCIL HAS MADE THAT DECISION, THEY COULD MAKE A DECISION
11:08AM	18	TO HAVE YOU PURSUE RELIEF WITH THE SHERIFF, SAN BENITO COUNTY
11:08AM	19	SHERIFF, AND IF YOU HAVE A DOCUMENT THAT THE TRIBAL COUNCIL,
11:08AM	20	HAS MET, HAS HEARD THIS ISSUE, AND THE TRIBAL COUNCIL REFERS
11:08AM	21	THIS MATTER TO THE SAN BENITO I THINK IT'S IN SAN BENITO
11:08AM	22	COUNTY. IT'S NOT MONTEREY COUNTY.
11:08AM	23	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: CORRECT.
11:08AM	24	THE COURT: RIGHT. REFERRED TO THE SAN BENITO
11:08AM	25	COUNTY SHERIFF FOR HER OR HIS ACTION, THAT MIGHT BE ANOTHER

11:09AM	1
11:09AM	2
11:09AM	3
11:09AM	4
11:09AM	5
11:09AM	6
11:09AM	7
11:09AM	8
11:09AM	9
11:09AM	10
11:09AM	11
11:10AM	12
11:10AM	13
11:10AM	14
11:10AM	15
11:10AM	16
11:10AM	17
11:10AM	18
11:10AM	19
11:10AM	20
11:10AM	21
11:10AM	22
11:10AM	23
11:10AM	24
11:10AM	25

ALTERNATIVE RELIEF, AND THEN, BECAUSE THE SHERIFF DOES HAVE,
THEY DO HAVE AUTHORITY AND THE OPPORTUNITY TO GO ON INDIAN LAND
AND ENFORCE, ENFORCE LAWS, CALIFORNIA LAW, IF THIS PERSON IS
ENGAGED IN A VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA LAW, THE PENAL CODE, AND
IT MIGHT BE PENAL CODE 602, WHICH IS A TRESPASS, IT MIGHT BE
PENAL CODE 415, WHICH IS DISTURBING THE PEACE, IT MIGHT BE
PENAL CODE 240, 242, WHICH ARE ASSAULT AND BATTERY. AGAIN,
PENAL CODE 415 IS DISTURBING THE PEACE. IT COULD BE -TRESPASS IS 602. THAT'S ANOTHER PENAL CODE. THESE ARE ALL
MISDEMEANORS.

IT COULD BE THAT SHE'S ENGAGED IN THE THEFT OF PROPERTY OR DISTURBING PROPERTY, THAT'S UNDER PENAL CODE SECTION 484. I'M JUST GIVING YOU THIS -- I'M NOT GIVING YOU LEGAL ADVICE, PLEASE.

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: I UNDERSTAND THAT.

THE COURT: BUT I'M JUST TELLING YOU THAT AT LEAST WHAT SOME OF THESE SECTIONS THAT YOU MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AT.

AND IT MAY BE THAT IF THE COUNCIL MAKES A REFERRAL, THEN
THE SHERIFF MIGHT TAKE SOME ACTION. MY SENSE IS THAT THE
SHERIFF HAS NOT TAKEN ANY ACTION BECAUSE THEY FEEL THEY DON'T
HAVE AUTHORITY TO GO ON INDIAN LAND.

THERE'S A GENTLEMAN OUT HERE WHO KEEPS NODDING HIS HEAD OR SHAKING HIS HEAD. IS HE RELATED TO THIS CASE?

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: HE IS STAFF TO THE TRIBE. HE'S A WITNESS AS WELL.

11:10AM	1	THE COURT: SURE. SHOULD I SPEAK WITH HIM?
11:10AM	2	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: IF YOU WILL PERMIT, YES, PLEASE.
11:10AM	3	THE COURT: SURE. SIR, DO YOU WANT TO COME FORWARD?
11:10AM	4	THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE.
11:10AM	5	MS. SAYERS-ROODS: THIS IS CARY LEE PETERSON.
11:10AM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. SIR, IF YOU COULD JUST SPEAK INTO
11:11AM	7	THE MICROPHONE. YOU CAN COME UP HERE. NO, NO, YOU CAN COME UP
11:11AM	8	HERE.
11:11AM	9	MR. PETERSON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11:11AM	10	THE COURT: IF YOU COULD STATE YOUR NAME AND SPELL
11:11AM	11	IT, PLEASE.
11:11AM	12	MR. PETERSON: MY NAME IS CARY PETERSON, C-A-R-Y,
11:11AM	13	P-E-T-E-R-S-O-N.
11:11AM	14	THE COURT: THANK YOU. MAY I JUST KNOW YOUR
11:11AM	15	CONNECTION TO THIS CASE?
11:11AM	16	MR. PETERSON: I'M THE CHIEF FOR LEGAL AFFAIRS AND
11:11AM	17	CHIEF ADVISOR TO TRIBAL COUNCIL FOR THE COSTANOAN-CHUALAR
11:11AM	18	INDIAN TRIBAL COMMUNITY OF INDIAN CANYON.
11:11AM	19	THE COURT: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE,
11:11AM	20	SIR.
11:11AM	21	ARE YOU AN ATTORNEY?
11:11AM	22	MR. PETERSON: NO, YOUR HONOR, I'M NOT AN ATTORNEY
11:11AM	23	IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. I DO HAVE A LAW DEGREE. I'M A
11:11AM	24	REGISTERED LAW REGISTRANT FOR U.S. CONGRESS. I HAD PRACTICED
11:11AM	25	LAW IN MICRONESIA, I PRACTICED LAW IN D.C., AND I PRACTICED LAW

1 11:11AM 2 11:12AM 3 11:12AM 11:12AM 4 5 11:12AM 11:12AM 6 11:12AM 7 11:12AM 8 11:12AM 9 11:12AM 10 11:12AM 11 11:12AM 12 11:12AM 13 11:12AM 14 11:12AM 15 11:12AM 16 11:12AM 17 11:12AM 18 11:12AM 19 11:12AM 20 11:13AM 21 11:13AM 22 11:13AM 23 11:13AM 24 11:13AM 25

IN THE TRIBAL COURT, BUT I'M NOT AN ATTORNEY IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. I JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT CLEAR FOR THE RECORD.

THE COURT: NO. THANK YOU.

MR. PETERSON: CURRENTLY I'M A CONTINUED GRADUATE

STUDENT AT HARVARD UNIVERSITY. I'M STUDYING L1 AND FINISH AT

U.S.C. GOLD, AND I HAVE GOT A DOCTORATE DEGREE IN LAW IN

INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS AND INTERNATIONAL DIPLOMACIES FROM UNION

UNIVERSITY AUGP.

THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

WELL, SIR, IT APPEARS THAT YOU HAVE HAD SOME INVOLVEMENT IN THIS CASE, AND YOU'VE SEEN THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE THAT I ISSUED IN REGARDS TO JURISDICTION IN THIS MATTER.

HAVE YOU SEEN THAT?

MR. PETERSON: YOUR HONOR, YES, I'M FAMILIAR WITH THE RECORD ENTRY FROM DOCKET 1 THROUGH 25.

THE COURT: GREAT. THANK YOU.

SO WHAT I WAS SUGGESTING TO MS. SAYERS-ROODS IS TO SPEAK WITH OUR PRO SE LAWYER WHO IS HERE IN THE COURTHOUSE TO GIVE ADVICE ON THE PLEADINGS BECAUSE I HAVE SOME SERIOUS CONCERNS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION JUST BASED ON THE PLEADINGS THAT ARE IN FRONT OF ME NOW. THAT WAS MY CONCERN.

MR. PETERSON: YOUR HONOR, WOULD IT BE POSSIBLE TO GET A REHEARING ON DOCKET 16-3 DUE TO THE FACT THAT IT TACITLY STATES IN THE OPENING OF THAT PARTICULAR STATEMENT FROM THE

1 11:13AM 2 11:13AM 3 11:13AM 11:13AM 4 5 11:13AM 11:13AM 6 11:13AM 7 11:13AM 8 11:13AM 9 11:13AM 10 11:13AM 11 11:13AM 12 11:13AM 13 11:14AM 14 11:14AM 15 11:14AM 16 11:14AM 17 11:14AM 18 11:14AM 19 11:14AM 20 11:14AM 21 11:14AM 22 11:14AM 23 11:14AM 24 11:14AM 25

U.S.P.S., AND I DID PERSONALLY SPEAK WITH THE U.S.P.S.

INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR THE REGIONAL DIVISION OF SAN FRANCISCO,

AND I ASSISTED MS. SAYERS-ROODS TO SUBMIT THE COMPLAINT, AND

16-3 IS THE RESPONSE FROM THE INSPECTOR GENERAL WITH RESPECT TO

THE COMPLAINT THAT DECLARES FEDERAL JURISDICTION IN THE FIRST

SENTENCE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. PETERSON: WITH RESPECT TO THE MAILBOX ONLY, YOUR HONOR, WHICH IS CAUSE CLAIM TWO.

THE COURT: RIGHT. SO MY QUESTION IS WHETHER OR NOT THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THE OTHER MATTERS THAT SHE'S ASKING THE COURT TO TAKE ACTION ON, THAT IS, A RESTRAINING ORDER AS TO MS. MACHADO, AND THOSE ARE TWO SEPARATE THINGS.

NOW, MY SENSE IS THAT I DON'T KNOW IF THE SHERIFF HAS BEEN CALLED TO INTERVENE AND TO REMOVE MS. MACHADO.

MR. PETERSON: YES, YOUR HONOR. I'VE BEEN IN

CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE LAST TWO MONTHS WITH THE BUREAU OF

INDIAN AFFAIRS, THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL INDIAN AFFAIRS

OFFICE, AND WITH THE SAN BENITO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND

SHERIFF.

THE COURT: AND HAS THE SHERIFF BEEN OUT TO ASSIST IN THE ISSUE OF MS. MACHADO?

MR. PETERSON: THE SHERIFF AS OF THE 9TH OF JUNE,
THEY WERE STANDING BY AS AN ESCORT OR A CIVIL STANDBY TO THE
EFFECT THAT THE SERVICE OF PROCESS OF MS. MACHADO.

1 11:14AM 11:14AM 2 11:14AM 3 11:15AM 4 11:15AM 5 11:15AM 6 11:15AM 7 11:15AM 8 11:15AM 9 11:15AM 10 11:15AM 11 11:15AM 12 11:15AM 13 11:15AM 14 11:15AM 15 11:15AM 16 11:15AM 17 11:16AM 18 11:16AM 19 11:16AM 20 11:16AM 21 11:16AM 22 11:16AM 23 11:16AM 24 11:16AM 25

WE HIRED A PROCESS SERVER. WE FILED OR MS. SAYERS-ROODS
FILED ON BEHALF OF THE TRIBE BEING THE TRIBAL CHAIR COUNCIL HAD
FILED A USM285, DOCKET ENTRY NUMBER 3.

THE COURT: SO MY QUESTION IS A LITTLE SIMPLER.

IF THERE IS A DISTURBANCE ON INDIAN LAND, THE PARTY ON INDIAN LAND, A RESIDENT, CAN CALL THE SHERIFF FOR POLICE ASSISTANCE. YOU DON'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE IA AND THOSE THINGS.

IF THERE IS AN URGENT MATTER, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE SHERIFF IS OBLIGATED TO RESPOND TO ANY CONDUCT, IF THERE'S AN ASSAULT AND BATTERY ON INDIAN LAND.

I DON'T THINK THE TRIBE HAS A TRIBAL POLICE FORCE, DO THEY?

MR. PETERSON: NO, YOUR HONOR. AT THE MOMENT, THE TRIBAL COMMUNITY IS SMALL AND IT CONSISTS OF THREE TRIBAL MEMBERS, YOU KNOW, TWO TRIBAL MEMBERS THAT HAVE ROLES WITH THE BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS, AND MS. SAYERS-ROODS TO MY LEFT WAS RECENTLY APPOINTED AND ELECTED AS THE TRIBAL COCHAIR BACK IN FEBRUARY OF THIS YEAR.

THE COURT: NO, I UNDERSTAND.

MR. PETERSON: MS. ANN MARIE SAYERS WAS NOT IN A PROFESSIONAL CAPACITY.

THE COURT: SIR, I UNDERSTAND THE HISTORY OF THAT

AND THE ROLES. THAT'S BEEN IDENTIFIED IN THE PLEADINGS, BUT MY

QUESTION RELATES TO IF THERE IS SOME TYPE OF INCIDENT ON INDIAN

1 11:16AM 2 11:16AM 3 11:16AM 11:16AM 4 11:16AM 11:16AM 11:16AM 11:17AM 8 11:17AM 9 11:17AM 10 11:17AM 11 11:17AM 12 11:17AM 13 11:17AM 14 11:17AM 15 11:17AM 16 11:17AM 17 11:17AM 18 11:17AM 19 11:17AM 20 11:17AM 21 11:17AM 22 11:17AM 23 11:17AM 24 11:18AM 25

LAND, THE SHERIFF, THE SAN BENITO COUNTY SHERIFF IS A LAW
ENFORCEMENT OFFICER, AGENCY THAT WOULD RESPOND TO A CALL, AND
I'M CURIOUS WHY THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE, FIRST OF ALL, WHETHER
THEY'VE BEEN CONTACTED, AND, SECONDARILY, WHY THEY'RE NOT
RESPONDING TO A CALL, IF THERE HAS BEEN A CALL, ABOUT AN
ASSAULT, ABOUT TRESPASS, THOSE TYPES OF THINGS.

MR. PETERSON: YOUR HONOR, IN THE PRESENT, THE
DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND MYSELF HAVE HAD CORRESPONDENCE TO THE
EFFECT THAT THEY HAVE INTEREST TO SEEK CRIMINAL ACTION WITH
RESPECT TO A BURGLARY AND BATTERY WHEREAS THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
FOR SAN BENITO COUNTY HAS TOLD ME THAT HE HAS NO JURISDICTION
TO CREATE A PROSECUTION FOR MS. MACHADO WITH RESPECT TO THE
RURAL MAILBOX THAT BELONGS TO THE TRIBAL COMMUNITY AND THE
P.O. BOX THAT BELONGS TO THE TRIBAL COMMUNITY. THAT WOULD BE A
FEDERAL INTEREST.

THE COURT: SURE. I UNDERSTAND THAT.

MY QUESTION IS NOT RELATED TO THE MAILBOX. IT'S RELATED TO THE CONDUCT OF MS. MACHADO AS AGAINST THE MOTHER, AS AGAINST TRESPASS, AS AGAINST ANY OTHER STATE TYPE OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY, AND I'M CURIOUS WHETHER THE SHERIFF HAS BEEN CONTACTED TO COME AND TAKE CARE OF THOSE SITUATIONS.

MR. PETERSON: YOUR HONOR, IN THE PRESENT, THE SHERIFF, AT THE BEHEST OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, HAS ADMINISTRATIVELY SET ASIDE THE CRIMINAL ACTION TO AWAIT THE DECISION WITH THE FEDERAL COURT, AND THEY'RE OF THE

UNDERSTANDING THAT SOME OF THE CLAIMS WOULD LEAVE THIS COURT TO 1 11:18AM THE STATE COURT OR TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S DESK AND THE 2 11:18AM FEDERAL INTERESTS WOULD REMAIN UNDER THIS COURT OR FEDERAL 3 11:18AM 11:18AM 4 AGENCY. 5 THE COURT: OKAY. 11:18AM MR. PETERSON: AND THE BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND 11:18AM 6 11:18AM 7 THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS EXPRESSED TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, FROM MY UNDERSTANDING, THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEEK A WANT OF 11:18AM 8 PROSECUTION AGAINST MS. MACHADO. 11:18AM 9 11:18AM 10 THE COURT: THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEEK A WHAT? WHAT IS 11:18AM 11 A WANT OF PROSECUTION? 11:18AM 12 MR. PETERSON: THEY'RE LOOKING TO PROCEED WITH 11:18AM 13 CHARGES AGAINST HER. THE COURT: THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IS? 11:18AM 14 11:18AM 15 MR. PETERSON: I'M NOT SURE OF THE AUSA. I HAVEN'T SPOKEN WITH THE AUSA, YOUR HONOR. I DON'T WANT TO MISSTATE 11:18AM 16 ANYTHING FOR THE RECORD. 11:18AM 17 11:18AM 18 THE COURT: SO THE SOURCE OF THIS INFORMATION IS? 11:18AM 19 MR. PETERSON: THE SAN BENITO COUNTY DISTRICT 11:18AM 20 ATTORNEY. 11:18AM 21 THE COURT: I SEE. 11:18AM 22 MR. PETERSON: THEY'VE BEEN CONTACTED BY THE FEDERAL 11:18AM 23 GOVERNMENT. CURRENTLY THE CORRESPONDENCE IS BETWEEN SPECIAL 11:18AM 24 AGENT LORENZ FOR THE BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS. I GUESS HE'S IN 11:18AM 25 THE CRIMINAL DIVISION. I DON'T KNOW IF HE'S GOT A NEXUS WITH

1 11:19AM 11:19AM 2 3 11:19AM 11:19AM 4 11:19AM 5 11:19AM 6 11:19AM 7 11:19AM 8 11:19AM 9 11:19AM 10 11:19AM 11 11:19AM 12 11:19AM 13 11:19AM 14 11:19AM 15 11:19AM 16 11:19AM 17 11:19AM 18 11:19AM 19 11:20AM 20 11:20AM 21 11:20AM 22 11:20AM 23 11:20AM 24

11:20AM 25

THE DOJ, I PRESUME SO, AND THE D.A. AGAIN, THEY ARE ONLY INTERESTED IN CRIMINALLY PROSECUTING THE BATTERY AND THE BURGLARY.

THE COURT: CORRECT.

THEY, THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY?

MR. PETERSON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: RIGHT. SO THAT'S THE STATE CAUSES OF ACTION THAT I WAS TALKING ABOUT, CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE VIOLATIONS.

MR. PETERSON: YES, YOUR HONOR, CLAIMS 1 AND 3.

THE COURT: SO AS TO THAT, I'M NOT CERTAIN AT THIS POINT THAT THIS, AS I SAID, THAT THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION.

THE POST OFFICE BOX ISSUE, IT SOUNDS LIKE THAT -- YOU'VE

DONE THAT. YOU'VE CONTACTED THE POSTAL INSPECTOR'S OFFICE AND

THEY'RE ENGAGED IN AN INVESTIGATION ABOUT MS. MACHADO'S ALLEGED

CONDUCT.

MR. PETERSON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I ADD TO THE RECORD

JUST AS A WITNESS WITH RESPECT TO THE COSTANOAN-CHUALAR INDIAN

TRIBAL COMMUNITY IN INDIAN CANYON, I HAVE OBSERVED THAT THIS

PARTICULAR TORT IS AD INFINITUM. IT'S ONGOING.

AND CURRENTLY THE INSPECTOR GENERAL, THEY HAVE OPENED UP

AN SPECIAL INVESTIGATION, AND THEY DECLARED THAT IN DOCKET

NUMBER 63. HOWEVER, I -- CLEARLY I'VE OBSERVED THIS FROM MY

LEGAL EXPERTISE AS A NON-ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BUT AS AN ATTORNEY FOR THE, YOU KNOW, LEGAL COUNSEL FOR THE

11:20AM 1
11:20AM 2
11:20AM 3
11:20AM 4
11:20AM 5
11:20AM 6
11:20AM 7

11:21AM 11 11:21AM 12

11:20AM 8

11:21AM 9

11:21AM 10

11:21AM 14 11:21AM 15

11:21AM 13

11:21AM 16
11:21AM 17

11:21AM 18

11:21AM 20

11:21AM 19

11:21AM 22

11:21AM 23

11:21AM 24

11:21AM 25

TRIBAL COMMUNITY, AND ALSO FOR SOMEBODY WITH A LAW DEGREE, I
WOULD SAY THAT THE TORT CURRENTLY WITH RESPECT TO THE MAILBOX,
THERE'S MAIL THAT HAS BEEN COMING FROM GOVERNMENT AGENCIES.

IF YOU LOOK AT THE RECORD, I HAVE OBSERVED THE RECORD THAT MS. KANYON SAYERS-ROODS HAS PUT IN IN PRO SE, THERE ARE DOCUMENTS FROM THE U.S. ARMY SHOWING ACTIVE CONTACTS, THERE WAS DOCUMENTS FROM THE SANTA CLARA MUNICIPALITY LOCAL GOVERNMENT, AND THERE ARE OTHER MAIL ITEMS THAT COME IN AND OUT OF THIS FEDERAL PROPERTY THAT THE DEFENDANT CURRENTLY HAS ACCESS TO ILLEGALLY, AND IF THERE'S NOTHING DONE BY THIS COURT, THE TORT WOULD CONTINUE, AND IT WOULD CAUSE MORE HARM AND DAMAGE TO THE TRIBAL COMMUNITY.

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

MR. PETERSON: AND THE PROPOSED ORDER, YOUR HONOR, IT SAYS TO THE EFFECT OF THE LEAVE OF CAUSES ONE AND THREE TO THE STATE COURT AND ONLY SEEKING TRO FOR CAUSE CLAIM TWO.

THAT'S WHAT I OBSERVED IN THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, AT DOCKET ENTRY NUMBER 17.

THE COURT: RIGHT. AND THAT'S THE PURPOSE THAT

WE'RE HERE TODAY, SIR, IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THIS

COURT HAS JURISDICTION TO DO THAT OR WHETHER THAT IS A SEPARATE

STAND ALONE INVESTIGATION FOR THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

TO ENGAGE IN, AND THAT WAS PART OF OUR CONVERSATION TODAY. I'M

GLAD YOU PARSED OUT THOSE OTHER COUNTS, THE PENAL CODE

VIOLATIONS, POTENTIAL PENAL CODE VIOLATIONS THAT I MENTIONED.

1 11:21AM 2 11:21AM 3 11:22AM 11:22AM 4 11:22AM 11:22AM 6 11:22AM 7 11:22AM 8 11:22AM 9 11:22AM 10 11:22AM 11 11:22AM 12 11:22AM 13 11:22AM 14 11:22AM 15 11:22AM 16 11:22AM 17 11:22AM 18 11:22AM 19 11:22AM 20 11:22AM 21 11:22AM 22 11:23AM 23 11:23AM 24 11:23AM 25

I DON'T KNOW IF THOSE ARE HAPPENING OR ONGOING, BUT I CITE
THOSE JUST BASED ON THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE DOCUMENTS THAT ARE
IN FRONT OF ME.

THIS COURT DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION FOR THE STATE, THOSE STATE VIOLATIONS. I'M GLAD TO HEAR THAT YOU HAVE PURSUED THOSE WITH THE SAN BENITO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY. WHAT WAS HIS NAME? HE WAS RECENTLY ELECTED, I BELIEVE.

MR. PETERSON: I'M NOT SURE. MY CONTACT DIRECTLY IS
THE CRIMINAL DIVISION IS MR. VICTOR CASADA.

THE COURT: CASADA?

MR. PETERSON: UH-HUH. I THINK HE'S THE ASSOCIATE

TO THE PRIMARY D.A. I SPOKE TO THE PRIMARY D.A. I THINK HIS

NAME IS -- OH, MAN, WE WERE ON A CONFERENCE CALL.

THE COURT: THAT'S FINE.

MR. PETERSON: I'M BAD WITH THE NAMES.

THE COURT: THAT IS FINE.

MR. PETERSON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I ASK ARE YOU IN A CAPACITY TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO EXPEDITE THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE WITH THE U.S. POSTAL INSPECTOR DUE TO THE FACT THAT FROM WHAT I'VE OBSERVED IN DOCKET ENTRY NUMBER 17 THAT WAS FILED BY THE PRO SE PLAINTIFF, IT WAS SEEKING JUST THE TEMPORARY RELIEF, SO IF A RECOMMENDATION HAD BEEN AN ADDENDUM WITH THE PROPOSED ORDER FOR THE RELIEF TO THE EFFECT OF STAY AWAY FROM THE MAILBOX AND THE P.O. BOX, THERE'S A POSSIBILITY -- I MEAN, THE RELIEF WITH RESPECT TO THE LEAVE TO

1 11:23AM 2 11:23AM 3 11:23AM 11:23AM 4 11:23AM 11:23AM 6 11:23AM 7 11:23AM 8 11:23AM 9 11:23AM 10 11:23AM 11 11:23AM 12 11:23AM 13 11:23AM 14 11:23AM 15 11:23AM 16 11:24AM 17 11:24AM 18 11:24AM 19 11:24AM 20 11:24AM 21 11:24AM 22 11:24AM 23 11:24AM 24 11:24AM 25

THE STATE, WE PROBABLY -- THE TRIBE IS NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY INTEREST TO PROSECUTE OR CIVILLY PROSECUTE MS. MACHADO. SHE DOESN'T HAVE ANY ASSETS. SHE DOESN'T HAVE ANY MONEY. SHE'S A TRESPASS --

THE COURT: I'M TALKING ABOUT CRIMINAL ACTION TAKEN FROM THE D.A.

SIR, WE CAN ONLY TALK ONE AT A TIME.

I WAS TALKING ABOUT CRIMINAL ACTION. YOU KNOW, MS. ROODS WOULD LIKE TO HAVE HER REMOVED, AND IF SHE'S A TRESPASSER, THE SHERIFF IS THE ONE WHO WOULD TAKE ACTION ON THAT, NOT ME, THE SHERIFF WOULD ENGAGE IN THAT TYPE OF CONDUCT.

AS TO YOUR QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT I CAN RECOMMEND SOMETHING TO THE POSTAL INVESTIGATION TO ENHANCE THEIR INVESTIGATION, I DON'T BELIEVE I HAVE JURISDICTION TO DO THAT, SIR. I DON'T BELIEVE I DO. I THINK THAT'S A STAND-ALONE INVESTIGATION, AND COURTS DO NOT ENGAGE IN TELLING INVESTIGATORY -- I CAN'T TELL THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY TO RAMP UP AN INVESTIGATION ON A CASE, AND IT'S VERY SIMILAR IN THIS REGARD. SO I DON'T THINK I'LL BE ABLE TO DO THAT.

I APPRECIATE YOU ASKING. IT SUGGESTS TO ME THE URGENCY OF THE SITUATION FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE, AND I APPRECIATE THAT.

I GUESS WHAT I'M SAYING, SIR, IS THAT IT JUST SEEMS TO ME
THAT OTHER THAN THE INTERFERENCE WITH THE MAIL, THE
UNITED STATES MAIL, THE QUICKEST SOURCE OF RELIEF WOULD BE TO
CONTACT THE STATE AGENCIES, AS YOU'VE DONE THROUGH THE

1 11:24AM 2 11:24AM 3 11:24AM 11:25AM 4 11:25AM 11:25AM 6 11:25AM 7 11:25AM 8 11:25AM 9 11:25AM 10 11:25AM 11 11:25AM 12 11:25AM 13 11:25AM 14 11:25AM 15 11:25AM 16 11:25AM 17 11:25AM 18 11:25AM 19 11:26AM 20 11:26AM 21 11:26AM 22 11:26AM 23 11:26AM 24

11:26AM 25

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND/OR THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO
REMOVE A TRESPASSER OR TO PREVENT A THEFT OF OTHER DOCUMENTS.

WHAT I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO DO, SIR, IF YOU COULD, IS
YOU HAVE THE HANDBOOK FOR OUR PRO SE OFFICE, AND I WOULD
ENCOURAGE YOU TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT WITH OUR PRO SE ATTORNEY
AND SPEAK WITH HIM AND RECEIVE SOME GUIDANCE AS TO NEXT STEPS
FOR THE LITIGATION.

MR. PETERSON: YOUR HONOR, THE TRIBE HAS MADE

COMMUNICATION WITH MULTIPLE COURT ATTORNEYS LICENSED IN THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

DUE TO THE DATE REQUIRING MS. SAYERS-ROODS TO BE IN COURT ON THIS PARTICULAR DATE, THERE WERE PAINSTAKING EFFORTS TO -WE ACTUALLY RETAINED, THE TRIBE RETAINED SOMEBODY ON BEHALF OF MS. SAYERS-ROODS. HE WENT M.I.A. THIS MORNING WE RECEIVED NOTICE THAT THE PARTICULAR ATTORNEY WAS TIED UP IN A CASE. THE SECOND ATTORNEY THAT WAS NOT RETAINED BUT SAID HE WOULD ENTER A NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FOR THIS HEARING ONLY, HE GOT COVID.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO THE LAWYER, OUR LAWYER, THE COURT'S LAWYER HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO FIND COUNSEL WHO WILL VOLUNTEER PRO SE, NOT ANY MONEY AT ALL, YOU DON'T HAVE TO PAY THEM, THEY'RE BIG LAW FIRMS WHO HAVE LAW FIRMS WHO WILL DO PRO BONO WORK, AND THEY WILL -- HE REFERS THE CASE TO THE LAW FIRM. THE LAW FIRMS LOOK AT THE CASE AND THEY MAKE A DECISION WHETHER THEY WANT TO MAKE A GENERAL APPEARANCE AND MARSHAL THE FULL RESOURCES OF THEIR LAW FIRMS TO ASSIST THE PARTIES IN

1 11:26AM 2 11:26AM 3 11:26AM 11:26AM 4 11:26AM 11:26AM 6 11:26AM 7 11:27AM 8 11:27AM 9 11:27AM 10 11:27AM 11 11:27AM 12 11:27AM 13 11:27AM 14 11:27AM 15 11:27AM 16 11:27AM 17 11:27AM 18 11:27AM 19 11:27AM 20 11:27AM 21 11:27AM 22 11:27AM 23 11:28AM 24 11:28AM 25

CASES WHERE THERE'S NO, NO NEED TO PAY FOR THE LAWYER'S

SERVICE. THAT'S ONE OF THE BENEFITS OF OUR LAWYER. WE

ACTIVELY, THE COURT, THROUGH THE LAWYER, ACTIVELY RECRUITS LAW

FIRMS WHO AGREE TO RECEIVE CASES AND APPEAR AND LITIGATE THOSE

CASES ON A PRO BONO BASIS, NO FEES FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES NOR

COSTS, AND THIS -- MY SENSE IS THAT THIS IS THE TYPE OF CASE

THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE WELCOMED BY MANY LAW FIRMS TO TAKE ON TO

ASSIST THE TRIBE.

THAT WAS ONE OF THE REASONS THAT I REALLY WANTED YOU TO SPEAK WITH OUR LAWYER SO HE -- AS I SAID, HE CANNOT REPRESENT ANYONE. HE'S A LICENSED LAWYER. BUT HIS JOB IS NOT TO REPRESENT A PARTY. HIS JOB IS TO ASSIST PARTIES IN THE FILLING OUT OF THEIR PAPERS, THE FORMS, THOSE TYPES OF THINGS, GIVE THEM ADVICE ON HOW TO PROSECUTE THEIR CASE, BUT HE ALSO, HE ALSO HAS RESOURCES OF LAW FIRMS AVAILABLE WHO WILL -- WHO HAVE AGREED TO HAVE LAWYERS FROM THEIR FIRMS STAND IN AND REPRESENT AN INDIVIDUAL IN THE APPROPRIATE CASE, AND I'VE HAD MANY LAWYERS COME IN AND APPEAR, BIG LAW FIRMS, COME IN AND APPEAR AND REPRESENT PARTIES AT NO COST TO THAT PARTY. ITS THEIR PROBONO SERVICE.

THAT'S ONE REASON WHY I REALLY WANTED YOU TO TALK TO THE LAWYER.

YES, MA'AM.

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: THEY CONTRACTED COVID SO I DID

NOT HAVE A CHANCE TO --

THE COURT: WELL, YOU HAVE THE NUMBER. I DON'T KNOW 1 11:28AM IF HE'S IN. I DON'T THINK HE IS TODAY. HIS OFFICE IS ON THE 11:28AM 2 SECOND FLOOR. USUALLY HE PARTICIPATES VIA APPOINTMENTS, BUT I 3 11:28AM GUESS WE COULD CALL HIM AND SEE IF HE'S IN. IS THAT POSSIBLE? 11:28AM 4 11:28AM 5 OR E-MAIL HIM? THE CLERK: I'LL SEARCH THE NUMBER. YES, YOUR 11:28AM 6 11:28AM 7 HONOR. THE COURT: GIVE ME JUST A MOMENT. 11:28AM 8 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 11:28AM 9 11:31AM 10 THE CLERK: HE'S NOT IN TODAY. I'LL GIVE THE 11:31AM 11 NUMBER. 11:31AM 12 THE COURT: YES. WE'LL GIVE YOU THE CONTACT INFORMATION. APPARENTLY HE'S NOT IN TODAY, REGRETTABLY. SO 11:31AM 13 WE'LL GIVE YOU THAT. I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO FOLLOW UP AND 11:31AM 14 11:31AM 15 SHARE SOME OF THIS INFORMATION WITH HIM FOR GUIDANCE AND PERHAPS REFERRAL TO A LAW FIRM WHO CAN STAND IN AND REPRESENT 11:31AM 16 11:31AM 17 YOU. 11:31AM 18 AS I SAID BEFORE, I'M NOT CERTAIN THAT I HAVE JURISDICTION 11:31AM 19 TO HEAR THE MATTER NOTWITHSTANDING ALL OF THE HARD WORK YOU'VE 11:31AM 20 DONE, TO SHOW ME IN THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU'VE PROVIDED. THEY DO SHOW, LET ME SAY THIS, THEY DO SHOW AN EXHIBIT, 11:31AM 21 11:31AM 22 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT YOU -- THE EXHIBITS THAT YOU PROVIDED 11:31AM 23 WAS INFORMATION REGARDING CONSTRUCTION WORK THAT THE STATE AND 11:32AM 24 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, I THINK, WERE GOING TO DO ON THE 11:32AM 25 HARTNELL BRIDGE ROAD, I THINK, AND THAT REQUIRED, WHEN

11:32AM 1 CONSTRUCTION IS DONE NEAR INDIAN LAND, THAT REQUIRES
11:32AM 2 NOTIFICATION OF THE VARIOUS TRIBES AND NATIONS.

YOU PROVIDED ME IN YOUR EXHIBITS INFORMATION THAT SHOWS

THAT WHEN THE GOVERNMENT SOUGHT TO IDENTIFY, THEY HAD YOU

LISTED, AND I THINK YOUR MOTHER, LISTED AS THE TRIBAL CONTACTS,

BUT IN THE DOCUMENTS IT SHOWS THAT AT LEAST FROM THEIR RECORDS,

THERE WAS NO CONTACT OR NO RESPONSE MADE.

AND MY SENSE IS THAT YOU PUT THAT IN TO SHOW ME AND TO
OFFER AS EVIDENCE THAT MS. MACHADO, WHO WAS TAKING THE MAIL,
SUCH THAT YOU HAD NO NOTICE ABOUT THIS WORK THAT WAS BEING DONE
NEAR TRIBAL LAND.

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: RIGHT.

THE COURT: AND THERE WERE SEVERAL DOCUMENTS THAT

REFERENCED THAT. THESE ARE DOCUMENTS FROM THE STATE GOVERNMENT

AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND THAT'S INAPPROPRIATE BECAUSE THIS

DOES AFFECT TRIBAL LAND, AND YOU AS THE AUTHORIZED

REPRESENTATIVE OF THE TRIBE, YOU SHOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO

COMMENT.

ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAPPENS, WE KNOW, WHEN MAJOR

CONSTRUCTION IS DONE LIKE THIS, THE STATE HAVE -- THEY HAVE

ARCHEOLOGISTS AND STATE REPRESENTATIVES WHO -- THEIR JOBS ARE

TO MAKE SURE AND ENSURE THAT SACRED LAND IS NOT DISTURBED, THAT

IF THERE IS GOING TO BE ANY INTERFERENCE WITH INDIAN LAND, THE

APPROPRIATE PARTIES ARE NOTIFIED, SACRED AREAS ARE DESIGNATED

SO THERE COULD BE WORKAROUNDS, THERE ARE ALSO ARCHEOLOGY TEAMS

11:33AM 25

1 11:33AM 2 11:33AM 3 11:34AM 11:34AM 4 11:34AM 11:34AM 6 11:34AM 7 11:34AM 8 11:34AM 9 11:34AM 10 11:34AM 11 11:34AM 12 11:34AM 13 11:34AM 14 11:34AM 15 11:34AM 16 11:34AM 17 11:34AM 18 11:34AM 19 11:34AM 20 11:34AM 21 11:35AM 22 11:35AM 23 11:35AM 24

11:35AM 25

THAT EXIST BEFORE CONSTRUCTION IS DONE, AND DURING CONSTRUCTION
THEY'RE ON SITE IN CASE THERE'S ANY DISTURBANCE OF ARTIFACTS OR
ANY OTHER NATIVE ITEMS.

YES, MA'AM.

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: AS A DESIGNATED MLD, MOST LIKELY
DESCENDENT, I AM ON SITE WITH THOSE ARCHEOLOGISTS AND I
CONDUCT --

THE COURT: COULD YOU SLOW DOWN.

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: I AM AN MLD, MOST LIKELY

DESCENDENT, AND I CONDUCT CULTURAL SENSITIVITY TRAININGS WITH

THE ARCHEOLOGISTS ON SITE, THE BIOLOGISTS, AND THE CONTRACTED

WORKERS, FOREMANS, AND ANY OF THE APPLICANTS. OUR TRIBE

MANDATES IT.

THE COURT: RIGHT. YES.

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: SO THEY CAN ABIDE BY NAGPRA,
NATIVE AMERICAN GRAVES PROTECTION AND REPATRIATION ACT, AND
CEQA, CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITIES ACT, SECTION 106 AND
AB 52. SO I'M ON SITE RIGHT THERE WITH THEM, AND THERE'S A LOT
OF MAIL THAT IS NOT BEING RESPONDED TO.

THE COURT: RIGHT. I APPRECIATE THAT. THANK YOU

FOR AUGMENTING THE RECORD FOR YOUR DUTIES. YOU HAVE KNOWLEDGE

OF THIS AND YOU PARTICIPATE IN THAT, AND IT'S IMPORTANT THAT

ARTIFACTS ARE NOT BROKEN, DISTURBED OR LOST, AND IT'S IMPORTANT

THAT SACRED LAND IS KEPT SACRED, AND THE CONTRACTORS CAN WORK

AROUND THAT. ALL OF THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT, AND IT'S AN

1 11:35AM 2 11:35AM 3 11:35AM 11:35AM 4 11:35AM 11:35AM 6 11:35AM 7 11:35AM 8 11:35AM 9 11:35AM 10 11:35AM 11 11:35AM 12 11:36AM 13 11:36AM 14 11:36AM 15 11:36AM 16 11:36AM 17 11:36AM 18 11:36AM 19 11:36AM 20 11:36AM 21 11:36AM 22 11:36AM 23 11:36AM 24 11:36AM 25

IMPORTANT PROCESS, AND IT SOUNDS LIKE MS. MACHADO IS, PER YOUR PLEADINGS AND PER THE INFORMATION THAT YOU'VE PROVIDED, YOU SUGGEST THAT SHE'S INTERFERING WITH THIS, TO THE DETRIMENT OF THE TRIBE AND POTENTIAL DETRIMENT TO TRIBAL LANDS AND LOSS OF ADDITIONAL TRIBAL ARTIFACTS.

SO I RECOGNIZE THAT. THANK YOU.

I THINK WE'VE GIVEN YOU THE CONTACT INFORMATION. YES. SO

I'M GOING TO ENCOURAGE YOU TO REACH OUT AND LEAVE A MESSAGE,

SEND AN E-MAIL, SHARE WITH HIM OUR CONVERSATION THIS MORNING,

AND I WELCOME YOU TO TELL HIM THAT THE JUDGE SAID WE SHOULD

TOOK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I DON'T THINK I NEED ANY MORE INFORMATION ON -- AS TO THE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE THAT I SET. I MAY SEND AN ORDER OUT EXPLAINING WHAT HAPPENED AND ANY LIMITATIONS THAT I HAVE AS TO JURISDICTION AT THIS POINT, BUT IF I DON'T HAVE JURISDICTION, I -- THAT SHOULD NOT DISCOURAGE YOU FROM GOING FORWARD WITH ANY LITIGATION YOU NEED TO PURSUE TO PROTECT TRIBAL INTERESTS, AND I THINK THE COURT'S LAWYER CAN GIVE YOU THOUGHTS AND SUGGESTIONS ON THAT.

IF HE TELLS ME THAT AND TELLS YOU THAT YOU SHOULD COME BACK TO COURT FOR SOME OTHER REASON, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO RECEIVE YOU, AND WE CAN HAVE THAT CONVERSATION.

ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THANK YOU, SIR, FOR BEING HERE.

MR. PETERSON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MS. SAYERS-ROODS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

11:36AM	1	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME.
11:36AM	2	(COURT CONCLUDED AT 11:36 A.M.)
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	